

PAUL E. AMADI
Plaintiff,

v.

DELTA AIRLINES
Defendant.

]
]
]
]
]
]
]

Case 3:09-cv-00750 Document 3 Filed 08/18/09 Page 1 of 2 PageID #: 9


1332.

In this case, the amount in controversy does not meet or exceed the amount needed to establish diversity jurisdiction. Thus, plaintiff's claim is only actionable in this Court if it involves a federal question. The plaintiff contends that the defendant's employee forced him to abandon some of his luggage and personal property. Such a dispute does not involve a question of federal law.

A district court is obliged to consider matters of jurisdiction, *sua sponte* if necessary. Hadley v. Werner, 753 F.2d 514, 516 (6th Cir.1985). Here, the plaintiff has failed to show that his claim falls within the scope of this Court's jurisdiction. Accordingly, this action is hereby DISMISSED for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

Entry of this order shall constitute the judgment in this action.

It is so ORDERED.


Todd Campbell
United States District Judge